Tcl Values: Past, Present & Tales from the Future

2016 Tcl Conference Don Porter Tcl/Tk Release Manager



Why I Am Working on TIP 445.

2016 Tcl Conference Don Porter Tcl/Tk Release Manager



Tcl Value?

- Returned by command
- Stored in variable
- Passed as an argument
- Held in a list.

set v [cmd arg]

Tcl Foundations

int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
 return 0;
}

- argv[i] points to NUL-terminated char array.
- Value: finite sequence of {0x01 0xFF}.

Tcl 7 Value

argv → Tcl_CmdProc → Tcl_SetResult(**result**, freeProc)

- Establishes the semantics of Tcl values.
 - "Everything is a string"
 - Implies: no NULL value; names, not references; Tcl typing
 - Revisions can optimize, but not escape this model.
- Pros
 - matches C, familiar to extension writers
- Cons
 - Fails "8-bit clean" (and Unicode completeness)
 - Conversion burdens make things slow.

Tcl 8(.1) Value: Tcl_Obj

objv → Tcl_ObjCmdProc → Tcl_SetObjResult(**objPtr**) **argv** → Tcl_CmdProc → Tcl_SetResult(**result**, freeProc)

 objv[i] points to a Tcl_Obj struct (24 or 40 bytes each): {int refCount;

char *bytes; int length;

/* String Representation. */

Tcl DuplicateObj()

Tcl_ObjType *typePtr; internalRep} /* Other Representation */

- Internal Rep goes along for the ride saves conversions.
- Tcl_ObjType (optionally) defines routines so Tcl can command...
 - Conversion Internal Rep to String Rep Tcl_GetString()
 - Free an Internal Rep
 Tcl_DecrRefCount(), Tcl_FreeIntRep()
 - Duplicate an Internal Rep
 - Create an Internal Rep of the value (if possible) Tcl_ConvertToType()
- objPtr \rightarrow bytes is Tcl 7 value. Easy accommodation of Tcl 7 conventions.
 - Revised encoding. Modified UTF-8 encodes entire BMP, including U+0000
 - Lost freeProc! bytes is always ckalloc()ed. Always making copies!

Tcl 8 Value: The Stork

Tcl_Obj struct:

{refCount;

bytes; length;/* String Rep */Tcl_ObjType *typePtr; internalRep}/* Other Rep */

- Either bytes or typePtr must be non-NULL.
- Both can be non-NULL, but then must agree.
- When bytes == NULL, say the value is "pure".
- A New internalRep destroys an old one. ("shimmer")
 - Conversion via string rep, or by being 'friends'

Tcl 8 Value: The Good

- All code written to Tcl 7 still works.
- Tcl 8 value resolves all Tcl 7 value cons!!!
 - Much reduced conversion burden.
 - Binary-safe, Support of Unicode's BMP
- In practice, programmers accepted it.
 - Mmmmm.... Yummy carrots.

Tcl 8 Value: The Bad

- Unicode grew, Tcl value alphabet didn't.
- Inessential properties of Tcl_Obj
 - Limit capabilities
 - Burden evolution
 - Tcl's value model is consistent with many programming innovations while the properties of the Tcl_Obj struct are not.
 - Pure functional, immutable data structures, HAMT, RRB trees, ropes.
 - Especially troublesome for large scaling.

Tcl_Obj: Inessential properties

{refCount;

bytes; length;

/* String Rep */

Tcl_ObjType *typePtr; internalRep} /* Other Rep */

- Size limited (INT_MAX = 2G)
- Open structs
- Mutable / Copy on Write
- RefCounted \rightarrow Thread isolated.
- String Rep is Tcl 7 value without freeProc
- At most one additional Rep. ("hydra")
- Each Rep is absent or complete. No partial conversions.

Example

- % proc K {x y} {return \$x}
- % set x [string repeat a 3000000]
- % time {set x [string replace \$x 2 2 b]} 100
- 2235.11385 microseconds per iteration
- % time {set x [string replace [K \$x [unset x]] 2 2 b]} 100
- 4.20126 microseconds per iteration
- Impact of sharing is script visible.

Tcl 9 Value – New Struct?

valv → Tcl_ValCmdProc → Tcl_SetValResult(val)

objv → Tcl_ObjCmdProc → Tcl_SetObjResult(**objPtr**)

argv → Tcl_CmdProc → Tcl_SetResult(**result**, freeProc)

- Or is better encapsulated Tcl_Obj struct flexible enough?
- Needs experimentation and interfaces to support it.

TIP 445

- Tcl_FreeInternalRep(obj)
 - Replaces $obj \rightarrow typePtr \rightarrow freeIntRepProc(obj)$
- Tcl_InitStringRep(...)
 - Replaces direct alloc and write to bytes
- Tcl_StoreIntRep(...), Tcl_FetchIntRep(...)
 - Act on internalRep without direct field access
 - Without assuming single internalRep
-and more as work reveals.

Tcl 9 value desirables?

- Code written to Tcl 7 and 8 should still work.
- Much increased sized limitations.
- Immutable
- Thread-sharable
- Reduced shimmer impact
- Full Unicode, with canonical equivalence
- Share data, not values

Closing Thoughts

- Should we invent a new structure or modify existing one?
 - How much can inessentials be purged without upsetting released base that assumes them?
 - Experiments in progress.
- Can changes be completed in reasonable time?
 - Interface first, for 9.0.
 - Continued progress in 9.1, 9.2, etc.
- Will coders accept and adapt?
 - Need big yummy carrots.